UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,765	01/19/2005	Masahiro Ishikawa	2005-0023A	2676
513 7590 08/07/2007 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER	
			TSAY, MARSHA M	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
W.1511111010	71, 50 2000 1021		1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/521,765	ISHIKAWA ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marsha M. Tsay	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	<i>lay 2007</i> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2 and 10 is/are pending in the appliance of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	<u></u> .			

Art Unit: 1656

The instant Office action is in response to Applicants' remarks received June 11, 2007 and May 23, 2007. Claims 3-9 are canceled. Claims 1-2, 10 are pending and currently under examination.

The inadvertent error on the Office action summary of the previous Office action mailed May 22, 2007 is also noted. The disposition of the claims should have been: claims 1-2, 10 are allowed and claims 4-6, 8 are rejected.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered and are deemed to be persuasive to overcome some of the rejections previously applied. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous Office actions are hereby withdrawn.

The indicated allowability of claims 1-2, 10 is withdrawn in view of the new 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejections based on Bringe (US 6566134; previously cited). Rejections based on the cited reference(s) follow.

Priority: The priority date is July 19, 2002.

Objections and Rejections

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,765

Art Unit: 1656

Claims 1-2, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bringe (US 6566134; previously cited). Bringe teaches a method for preparing a dry β-conglycinin protein composition comprising a β-conglycinin content greater than 40%, the method comprising a heat treatment step, wherein the heat treatment step is selected from 80-90°C or 120-154°C, lowering the pH to precipitate protein, recovering a portion of the precipitated protein, adjusting the pH of the protein mixture to between pH 6.7-7.2, and then drying the β-conglycinin mixture (col. 38 lines 1-35; claim 10). In Example 8, Bringe teaches SPI (soy protein isolate) suspensions were prepared at 7% protein and adjusted to pH 5.6 using dilute HCl (col. 32 lines 50-55). The SPI suspension at pH 5.6 was heated to 90°C (col. 32-33, table 16) and then lowered to 20°C (col. 32 lines 62-63; claims 1-2). The temperature range of 120-154°C, as noted above by Bringe, is an alternative temperature that can be used in the heat treatment step and is an acceptable sterilization temperature (claim 10).

Applicants' had previously amended claim 1 to recite the acidic conditions of pH 3.5 to 6.0. Bringe teaches a heat treatment step at a pH of 5.6. Therefore, the instant claims are believed to be anticipated by Bringe.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marsha M. Tsay whose telephone number is 571-272-2938. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,765 Page 4

Art Unit: 1656

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 1, 2007

KATHLEEN KERR BRAGDON, PH.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER